
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>Table of Abbreviations</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xv
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxv
<i>Introduction</i>	1
1: Risk Evaluation through the Lens of Administrative Constitutionalism	3
I THE SCIENCE/DEMOCRACY DICHOTOMY IN REGULATING TECHNOLOGICAL RISK	6
A <i>Technological Risks</i>	7
B <i>The Science/Democracy Dichotomy</i>	11
C <i>The Role of Law</i>	14
D <i>Problems with the Science/Democracy Dichotomy</i>	16
II TECHNOLOGICAL RISK, PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION, AND ADMINISTRATIVE CONSTITUTIONALISM	18
A <i>The Necessary Role of Public Administration</i>	19
B <i>The Contentious Role of Public Administration, Law and Administrative Constitutionalism</i>	22
III TWO PARADIGMS OF ADMINISTRATIVE CONSTITUTIONALISM IN THE RISK REGULATION CONTEXT	26
A <i>The Rational-Instrumental Paradigm</i>	28
B <i>The Deliberative Constitutive Paradigm</i>	30
C <i>The Paradigms Compared</i>	32
IV ADMINISTRATIVE CONSTITUTIONALISM AS A FORM OF LEGAL CULTURE	35
V AN EXAMPLE: THE PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE AND ADMINISTRATIVE CONSTITUTIONALISM	39
A <i>The Precautionary Principle</i>	40
B <i>The Precautionary Principle and the DC and RI Paradigms</i>	42
C <i>The Precautionary Principle and the Burden of Proof</i>	44
VI CONCLUSION	46

x *Table of Contents*

<i>Part One: Administrative Constitutionalism in National Legal Cultures</i>	49
Introduction to Administrative Constitutionalism in National Legal Cultures	51
I NATURE OF ADMINISTRATIVE CONSTITUTIONALISM	52
II THE ROLE OF LAW	55
III THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LEGAL CONCEPTS AND THE REGULATORY REGIMES FOR TECHNOLOGICAL RISK REGULATION	57
IV CONCLUSION	58
2: BSE, Expertise and Administrative Constitutionalism: Examining the Role of the Southwood Working Party	59
I THINKING OF BSE IN TERMS OF ADMINISTRATIVE CONSTITUTIONALISM	61
II TECHNOLOGICAL RISK REGULATION AND ADMINISTRATIVE CONSTITUTIONALISM IN THE UK: A BRIEF HISTORY	66
III THE ADMINISTRATIVE CONSTITUTIONALISM CONTEXT OF THE BSE CRISIS	71
IV THE SOUTHWOOD WORKING PARTY	76
V AFTER SOUTHWOOD	84
VI CONCLUSIONS	87
3: Hard Looks and Substantial Evidence: Scope of Review of US Risk Regulation Rulemaking in the 1970s	89
I SCOPE OF REVIEW AND ADMINISTRATIVE CONSTITUTIONALISM IN HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE	90
II ADMINISTRATIVE CONSTITUTIONALISM AND RISK REGULATION REGIMES IN THE EARLY 1970s	98
III HARD LOOK REVIEW	101
IV SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE AND THE OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ACT	107
V SCOPE OF REVIEW UNDER THE RI PARADIGM	116
VI REFLECTIONS	121
VII CONCLUSION	124
4: The Precautionary Principle and Merits Review in Australia	125
I AUSTRALIAN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW AND THE DC PARADIGM OF ADMINISTRATIVE CONSTITUTIONALISM	127
II THE PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE IN AUSTRALIAN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW	130
III GENERALIST TRIBUNALS, ENVIRONMENTAL COURTS, AND MERITS REVIEW	133
IV DC INTERPRETATIONS OF THE PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE	140
V RI INTERPRETATIONS OF THE PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE	146
VI THE SEARCH FOR A UNIFORM INTERPRETATION	154
VII CONCLUSIONS	160

Table of Contents

xi

<i>Part Two: Administrative Constitutionalism and Risk Regulation Beyond the State</i>	163
Introduction to Administrative Constitutionalism and Risk Regulation Beyond the State	165
I THE WTO AND EU: AN OVERVIEW	167
II THE ROLE OF LAW	169
III GOVERNANCE AND ADMINISTRATIVE CONSTITUTIONALISM	171
IV CONCLUSION	172
5: Risk Assessment, The World Trade Organisation Sanitary and Phytosanitary Agreement and Administrative Constitutionalism	173
I THE WTO SPS AGREEMENT	175
II THE SPS AGREEMENT THROUGH THE LENS OF ADMINISTRATIVE CONSTITUTIONALISM	180
III <i>EC-HORMONES</i> AND THE DEFINITION OF RISK ASSESSMENT	185
IV DEFINING RISK ASSESSMENT IN DISPUTES SINCE <i>EC-HORMONES</i> : THE INADVERTENT PURSUIT OF THE RI PARADIGM	190
V THE PROBLEM WITH THE WTO SPS JURISPRUDENCE ON ARTICLE 5.1	200
VI FUTURE LINES OF INQUIRY	203
VII CONCLUSION	206
6: The Precautionary Principle and Administrative Constitutionalism in the European Union: Asking Some Difficult Questions	207
I CONTEXTUALISING THE PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE IN THE EUROPEAN UNION	208
II OVERLAPS, INTERRELATIONSHIPS AND ADMINISTRATIVE INTEGRATION	214
III THE CASE LAW BEFORE THE COMMISSION'S COMMUNICATION	218
IV THE COMMISSION'S COMMUNICATION ON THE PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPLE	224
V CASE - LAW AFTER THE COMMISSION'S COMMUNICATION	229
VI REFLECTIONS	238
VII CONCLUSION	241
<i>Conclusions</i>	243
7: Beyond the Science/Democracy Dichotomy	245
I A SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS	245
II SOME PRELIMINARY FINDINGS	250
III NEXT STEPS	254
IV CONCLUSION	257
<i>Bibliography</i>	259
<i>Index</i>	283