

Contents

Acknowledgements	vii
List of Abbreviations	xv
Table of Cases	xvii
Table of Legislation	xxi
Introduction — Purpose and Plan of the Book	1
Chapter 1: Ethical Issues in Selection Practices — Whose Interests are at Stake?	11
I Introduction	11
II Reproductive Autonomy and the Embryo or Fetus	12
A Choosing Whether to Have a Child	12
B The Possibility of a Disabled Child	14
C Reproductive Autonomy and the Positive Duties Inherent in Child-raising	15
D The Moral Status of the Embryo and Fetus	20
E The Scope of Reproductive Autonomy: Interests, Reasons and Rights	23
III Understandings of Disability	26
A Models of Disability	27
B Flourishing	28
C The Severity of a Condition	29
i A life that someone may think is not worth living	29
ii A life that someone will think is worth living	30
iii Severity: whose interests?	32
IV Birth and Harm	34
A A Life that Someone May Think is Not Worth Living	34
B A Life that Someone Will Think is Worth Living	37
C Person- and Non-person-affecting Principles	38
i Moral requirements	42
a) The stage of development of the fetus	44
b) The difficulty of judging a disability to be serious	45
c) Costs or difficulties or loss of benefits for parents	46
ii Moral permissibility	47

x *Contents*

V	The Interests of People with Impairments	48
A	Models of Disability and Discrimination Issues	48
B	Information and Assumptions about Suffering or Difficulty	50
C	The ‘Expressivist Objection’	54
i	The fetus and personhood	54
ii	Devaluing impairments but equally valuing those with impairments	57
iii	The ‘any/particular’ distinction	59
iv	Interweaving parents’ and children’s interests	62
v	Opportunity — non-person-affecting principles again	64
VI	Eugenics	65
VII	Conclusions	68
	Chapter 2: Selective Abortion — The Interpretation and Operation of the Law	71
I	Introduction	71
II	Exploring the Terms of the Disability Ground of the Abortion Act	73
A	‘Substantial Risk’	73
i	<i>Hansard</i>	73
ii	RCOG guidelines	73
B	‘Serious Handicap’	76
i	<i>Hansard</i>	76
ii	RCOG guidelines	77
a)	The probability of effective treatment <i>in utero</i> or after birth	78
b)	The probable degree of self-awareness and of ability to communicate with others	81
c)	The suffering that would be experienced	82
d)	The extent to which the actions essential for health that normal individuals perform unaided would have to be provided by others	83
e)	The probability of being able to live alone and to be self-supporting as an adult	85
III	A Woman’s or Couple’s Moral and Legal Interests in Decisions Relating to Selective Abortion	86
A	Parents’ Moral Interests in Being Able to Choose Whether to Have a Disabled Child	86
B	Legal Interests	89
i	Parents’ possible legal interests in the interpretation of the disability ground of the Abortion Act	89
ii	Implications of the wrongful birth cases	94
IV	Cases Under the European Convention on Human Rights	102

A	Article 8	102
B	Article 2	103
C	Legal Protection: Fetal Rights or Fetal Value?	108
D	Article 3	112
E	Article 14	116
V	Abortion for Fetal Anomaly After 24 Weeks	119
A	The Pregnant Woman's Interests	122
B	The Fetus's Interests	124
C	Factors Overall	134
VI	Conclusions	137
 Chapter 3: Informational Duties — the Impact on Prenatal Screening, Diagnosis and Selective Abortion		 143
I	Introduction	143
II	Consent to Screening and Diagnosis: Information and Counselling	144
A	Capacity	145
B	Voluntariness: Offers of Screening and Testing	145
C	Information: Nature and Purpose, Not Results of Screening or Testing	149
III	The Effect of a Rights-Based Approach to Abortion on Prenatal Screening and Testing	153
A	The Right to Abort For Any Reason	153
B	The Role of the Medical Profession	155
C	Autonomy — Information That Aids, Not Burdens	157
IV	The Role of Wrongful Birth Liability	160
A	Tort's Concern to Protect Reproductive Autonomy, But Only So Far	160
B	Issues of Conscience for the Medical Profession	162
C	The Basis of the Wrongful Birth Action	166
D	The Standard of Care: Traditional Negligence or Negligent Non-disclosure	169
i	The risk of a given fetal condition	172
V	The Relationship Between the Seriousness of a Fetal Condition and the Reasons for Exercising Reproductive Autonomy	178
A	Reasons: A Moral Framework	178
B	Reasons: The Law	181
i	Reasons in abortion law	181
ii	Reasons in wrongful birth case law	182
iii	Defining seriousness in wrongful birth case law	185

xii *Contents*

iv	Implications for the current and future scope of the wrongful birth action	187
VI	Conclusions	192
Chapter 4: Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis — The Interpretation and Operation of the Law		197
I	Introduction	197
II	Background and Legal Context	199
III	The Recommendations on Risk and Seriousness	205
A	‘Significant Risk’	207
B	‘Serious Genetic Condition’	208
C	The PGD Guidance	209
IV	Health Professionals’ and Scientists’ Views and Experience	211
A	‘Significant Risk’	212
B	‘Serious Genetic Condition’	213
i	The view of those seeking treatment of the condition	214
ii	The likely degree of suffering associated with the condition	220
a	Very serious conditions	220
b	Less serious conditions	225
1	Cystic fibrosis	225
2	Down’s Syndrome	228
iii	The extent of any intellectual impairment	237
iv	The extent of social support available	237
v	The family circumstances of the people seeking treatment	238
vi	The availability of effective therapy or management now and in the future	239
vii	The speed of degeneration in progressive disorders	240
viii	Their previous reproductive experience	242
V	Conclusions	243
Chapter Five: The Future Scope of Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis		247
I	Introduction	247
II	Fears of Trivial or Eugenic Use of Embryos	249
III	The Interests at Stake: The Reasons for Choosing Between Possible Lives	252
A	The Moral and Legal Status of the Embryo and Fetus	253
B	The Subject of Moral Concern	256

C	Selecting Against ‘Serious’ Genetic Anomalies	257
D	Selecting Against Or For Purely Aesthetic or Generally Trivial Features	260
	i	Parents’ interests and attitudes: central versus marginal features 261
	ii	The child’s interests: autonomy and flourishing 264
	iii	The claims of the embryo and the processes in PGD 270
	iv	The professionals involved in IVF and PGD 274
	v	The interests of those with impairments 275
	vi	The issue of legalisation 277
E	Selecting in Favour of ‘Serious’ Features	279
	i	Selecting for intelligence 280
	ii	Selecting for better health 283
	iii	Selecting for specific aptitudes or abilities 285
IV	Conclusions	288
Chapter Six: Uses of Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis — Two Particular Cases		297
I	Introduction	297
II	Selecting for Disability	297
	A	Person-affecting and Non-Person-Affecting Principles and Harm Revisited 298
	B	Choosing a Deaf Embryo Versus Failing to Cure a Deaf Child 300
	C	‘Sarah Can Hear, But I Can’t’ 304
	D	Parents’ Possible Interests in Selecting for Disability and Implications for Third-Party Assistance 307
	E	Deafness and Opportunity 309
	F	Selecting for Achondroplasia 314
	G	Selecting for Down’s Syndrome or Cystic Fibrosis 315
	H	Implications Overall 318
III	Sex Selection	319
	A	Parents’ and Children’s Interests 321
	B	The Claims of the Embryo and the Processes in IVF and PGD 323
	C	The Views of Clinicians, Scientists and Those With Impairments 326
	D	The Views of the HFEA and Government: Is Legal Prohibition Justified? 327
	i	Analysis under Article 8(1) of the ECHR 330
	ii	Analysis under Article 8(2) of the ECHR 332
	a)	In accordance with law 332
	b)	Legitimate aim 333
	c)	Necessary in a democratic society 333
	1	Pressing social need 335
	2	Proportionate to the legitimate aim pursued 335

xiv *Contents*

3	Relevant and sufficient reasons	336
4	Implications: justifiable interference?	336
IV	Conclusions	345
	Bibliography	351
	Index	359