

Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	ix
<i>Table of Statutes</i>	xvii
CHAPTER I THE DUTY TO ENSURE THE RELIABILITY OF INDUCED ASSUMPTIONS	1
1. Introduction	1
2. The Duty to Ensure the Reliability of Induced Assumptions	2
(a) The justification of the duty	4
(b) Distinguishing the duty from the duty to keep promises and the duty not to lie	6
3. Sketching a Map of the Law in Relation to Induced Assumptions	8
CHAPTER II THE EMERGENT DOCTRINE OF EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL	15
1. Introduction	15
(a) Methodology	16
(b) The Spencer Bower and Turner categories of estoppel	19
(c) The facts of <i>Waltons</i> and <i>Verwayen</i>	22
2. The Elements of Equitable Estoppel	25
(a) Equitable estoppel is a single doctrine of common law and equity . . .	26
(b) Able to be used as either a cause of action or defence . . .	30
(c) Between two parties not necessarily in any kind of pre-existing relationship	31
(d) To establish an estoppel one party, A, (or his privy?) . . .	33
(e) Must show that he has held an assumption . . .	34
(i) How specific must the relevant assumption be?	34
(ii) Must the party holding the assumption honestly have believed its truth?	36
(f) Regarding the present of the future, fact or law . . .	38
(g) And that he has acted or refrained from acting . . .	41
(h) In reliance upon that assumption . . .	42
(i) To his detriment	44
(j) A must also show that the other party, B, (or his privy?) . . .	47

(k)	Induced the relevant assumption . . .	50
(l)	And that, having regard to a number of specified considerations, it would be unconscionable for B not to remedy the detriment that A has suffered by relying upon the assumption	55
(i)	Equitable estoppel as “discretionary”	56
(ii)	The criteria for determining unconscionability	59
(m)	When these things are established, the court may award a remedy sufficient to reverse the detriment that A has shown	66
(i)	Remedies in the expectation measure	68
(ii)	Remedies in the restitution measure	69
(n)	Defences	71
(i)	Estoppel and statute	72
(ii)	Estoppel and part performance	75
CHAPTER III THE UTILITY OF EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL		78
1.	Introduction	78
(a)	Equitable estoppel and contract	78
(i)	Equitable estoppel as a rival to contract	79
(ii)	Equitable estoppel as undoing contractual obligations	81
(b)	Introducing the four problem areas	82
2.	Work Undertaken in Anticipation of a Contract that does not Materialise	87
(a)	Contract and work undertaken in anticipation of contract	89
(b)	Tort and work undertaken in anticipation of contract	93
(c)	Restitution and work undertaken in anticipation of contract	94
(d)	Equitable estoppel and work undertaken in anticipation of contract	98
(i)	<i>Jennings, Brewer Street, William Lacey, Construction Design & Management and Sabemo</i>	98
(ii)	<i>British Steel</i>	105
3.	The “Battle of Forms”	107
(a)	Contract and the “battle of forms”	108
(b)	Tort and the “battle of forms”	110
(c)	Restitution and the “battle of forms”	111
(d)	Equitable estoppel and the “battle of forms”	114
(i)	The seller’s claim for payment	114
(ii)	The buyer’s counter-claim	116
4.	Firm Offers in the Construction Industry	116
(a)	Contract and the firm offer problem	117
(b)	Equitable estoppel and the firm offer problem	118
(i)	<i>Northwestern and Drennan</i>	121

(ii) <i>Robert Gordon and MacIsaac</i>	124
(iii) Equitable estoppel and “bid shopping”	127
5. Variations of Contract Unsupported by Consideration	128
(a) Contract and variations unsupported by consideration	130
(b) Equitable estoppel and variations unsupported by consideration	135
CHAPTER IV EPILOGUE	139
<i>Index</i>	141